## 1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 8 CASE NO. CR18-05205-RBL-5 Plaintiff, 9 **DETENTION ORDER** 10 v. Frederick Clayton Bailey, 11 Defendant. 12 The Court has conducted a detention hearing under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), and concludes 13 there are no conditions which the defendant can meet which would reasonably assure the 14 15 defendant's appearance as required or the safety of any other person and the community. FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION 16 17 The defense did not overcome the rebuttable presumption that Mr. Bailey poses a danger 18 to any person or a danger to the community. Dkt. 1, Indictment (under 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(C), 841(b)(1)(B));18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3)(A) (presumption of pretrial detention for 19 20 drug crime with maximum sentence of ten years or more). The Court reviewed the Motion for 21 Detention, the Pretrial Reports (dated May 8, May 11, and June 11, 2018) from United States 22 Probation Officer Nick Bassett, the letter submitted by Mr. Bailey, the remainder of the Court's file, and considered the arguments and information presented during the detention hearing. 23

**DETENTION ORDER - 1** 

1 2

The government met its burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence (even beyond the statutory presumption) that the defendant presents a risk of non-appearance, based on the numerous bench warrants and failure to appear in cases where the defendant has been charged with crimes in the past. The government also met its burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence (even beyond the statutory presumption) that Mr. Bailey poses a significant risk of danger to the community based on his own history of substance abuse, addiction, repeated criminal behavior involving drug dealing and violent behavior (his most recent felony drug offense conviction in 2015 resulted in a 30-month prison term), and the circumstances of the current offense involving conspiracy to distribute a large amount of heroin and methamphetamine.

Although the defendant produced an option to reside with friends who own a home where he was welcome to stay, the Court determined that proposed home environment was not a suitable residential placement. The homeowners would not be present at the home during weekday working hours, and the homeowners had significant criminal history. One of the homeowners had 23 convictions, including for assault, violation of a no-contact order, possession of a controlled substance, and residential burglary. In addition, the Probation Officer, Mr. Bassett, noted that Mr. Bailey's family was not available to assist or support Mr. Bailey in any placement in the community. The community and family ties that Mr. Bailey has are thin, at best.

Therefore, the Court determined that Magistrate Judge Christel's Detention Order (Dkt. 59) should remain in place, and this Order confirms that decision.

It is therefore **ORDERED**: